From: Subject:	Residential Tenancies Regulation 2010 FW: Residential Tenancies Regulation consultation
	019 12:15 PM s Regulation 2010 <rtreg@finance.nsw.gov.au> ancies Regulation consultation</rtreg@finance.nsw.gov.au>
tenants, gives access to t animals abandoned each tenancy agreements exc	oach to pets in rented homes that places responsibility for the decision to keep pets with the health and wellbeing benefits of pet ownership to tenants and reduces the number of a year. The Residential Tenancies Regulation should prohibit blanket 'no pets' terms from ept where another law prevents the keeping of pets (for instance a strata by-law) and tandard form agreement should encourage responsible pet ownership.
I support the proposal fo	or:
1. A prohibition on 'no p	ets' clauses
contribute to abandonm kinds of additional terms	e blanket 'no pets' clauses in their tenancy contracts. These blanket terms are unfair and ent and loss of pets. The Residential Tenancies Regulation has the ability to restrict the from being placed into an agreement. Blanket 'no pets' clauses should be made a lets are restricted by another law.
2. An additional term or	the standard form agreement which lays out a responsible pet ownership model
required by the Act and which encourages respo	orm agreement starts with a negative default additional term against pets. This is not is not in keeping with modern community standards. I support a default additional term insible pet ownership and makes clear to both tenants and landlords the responsibilities eping pets. This will avoid the majority of property care issue and nuisance to neighbours.
	am able to fully embrace the joy - and health benefits - pet ownership brings. With so many nt, it's time these were extended to responsible tenants.
Regards	
Cathy Bates	