

Summary of Disciplinary Action

Accredited certifier	Disciplinary action no.	Property addresses	Date of decision & details of disciplinary matter	Disciplinary decision
Peter BOYCE BPB No. 0043	469		7 February 2020 by the NSW Civil & Administrative Tribunal: https://www.caselaw.nsw.gov.au/decision/5e38d803e4b0ab0bf60755c6	Mr Boyce's certificate of accreditation be cancelled (effective 6 March 2020). Mr Boyce was also reprimanded and ordered that he cannot re-apply for accreditation for a period of 2 years from the date of decision. <i>NOTE: Between 22 May 2019 & 5 March 2020, Mr Boyce is not authorised to accept any application seeking that he issue a complying development certificate or a construction</i>
		9 Tierney Avenue, East Gardens (complaint no.29/17)	Issued complying development certificate (CDC) with confusing and misleading information in regard to excluding a proposed patio from the approved works. Issued interim occupation certificate (OC) for a building that was inconsistent with the CDC through the inclusion of a rear patio.	
		272 Old South Head Road, Watsons Bay (complaint no.102/17)	As the principal certifying authority (PCA) failed to properly respond and take appropriate action in regard to the building roof not being constructed in compliance with the approved plans i.e. setback 450mm from the side boundary.	
		3 Dudley Street, Paddington (complaint no.103/17)	Contrary to development consent conditions the certifier authorised (by issuing a construction certificate) construction of a new gate instead of retention of an existing gate. As the PCA failed to properly respond and take appropriate action in regard to building height and boundary encroachments.	

Accredited certifier	Disciplinary action no.	Property addresses	Date of decision & details of disciplinary matter	Disciplinary decision
		71 High Street, Willoughby (complaint no.35/18)	<p>Issued CDC in circumstances where the proposed development did not comply with the Codes SEPP i.e. the requirements of cl 3.16(2)(b)(ii) of the Codes SEPP and the relevant provisions of the BCA.</p> <p>Issued the CDC for proposed dwelling alterations and additions in circumstances where the CDC endorsed structural engineer's drawings depict alternative proposed works to the CDC and the endorsed architectural plans.</p>	<i>certificate, other than in relation to any undetermined application received by him on or before 3 May 2019, and any application seeking a modified certificate.</i>
		5 Walker Street, Putney (complaint no.75/18)	<p>Issued CDC in circumstances where the application (including the plans) did not demonstrate that the proposed development complies with the relevant development standards i.e. the requirements of cl 3.13(1) of the Codes SEPP.</p>	