

Jo Haylen MP
Member for Summer Hill
Parliament House
SYDNEY NSW 2000

Re: Retirement Village Exit Entitlements and Cap on Recurrent Charges.

Dear Ms Haylen

On 9 July 2019 Minister for Better Regulation and Innovation, the Hon Kevin Anderson, released a Discussion Paper inviting submissions from the public as to how the Government should proceed with its announced intention to:

- introduce a 42-day limit on the length of time retirement villages can charge for general services after the departure of a resident; and
- mandate that exit entitlements be repaid within six months of a resident moving out of a retirement village in metropolitan areas and 12 months for regional areas.

These reforms were a recommendation of the Greiner Inquiry into the Retirement Village Sector in 2017 and, as an election commitment before the State Elections last March, the Government undertook to implement them. Upon release of the Discussion Paper, we have been horrified to learn there is some suggestion that the reforms may be “grandfathered” – that is, made applicable to residents of retirement villages who enter into residential contracts after the reforms become effective. Existing residents, the ones who are suffering from the current unfair laws, would get no benefit or relief! Ms Haylen, if “grandfathering” were allowed to happen, it would be a tragedy and HIGHLY discriminatory.

The Government made an election pledge that the reforms would be implemented without one word that the voters to whom the pledge was made would be excluded from the benefits. We would not like to have been misled into thinking that there was a well-deserved and sorely needed relief measure in the offing, when there was not!

Please resist any attempt to “grandfather” these reforms when they are referred to you for parliamentary consideration. The Government needs to honour the unambiguous undertaking it gave in this matter and your assistance will be highly valued in ensuring the undertaking happens.

Yours sincerely

G. H. NAPIER