

Summary of Disciplinary Action

Accredited certifier	Disciplinary action no.	Complaint No.	Details of disciplinary matter	Disciplinary action	Date of decision
Ken	397	63/13	Mr Smith issued a complying	The Board has made a	25 June
SMITH			development certificate for a development that did not constitute	combined decision.	2015
			complying development as the floor area	A. The Board finds, having	
BPB No.			of a proposed terrace, which is to be	regard to complaints No.	
0789			attached to a dwelling, exceed the maximum permissible floor area of 12m ² .	63/13, 65/13, 66/13, 105/14, 106/14, 108/14 and 110/14,	
			maximum permissible 11001 area 01 12111 .	that the conduct of Mr Kenneth Smith constitutes	
		65/13	Mr Smith issued a complying	unsatisfactory professional	
			development certificate when:	conduct as defined by section 19 of the Building	
		66/13	the plans that accompanied the application for the complying	Professionals Act.	
			development certificate did not contain adequate information about the	B. Mr Smith is reprimanded.	
			existing levels of the land in relation to	C. Mr Smith is fined the sum of	
			buildings and roads, in breach of the	\$7,500 which is to be paid to	
			Environmental Planning and	the Board within 28 days of	
			Assessment Regulation,	receipt of the notice of decision on the complaints.	
			the plans that accompanied the	decision on the complaints.	
			application for the complying		
			development certificate did not show		
			the levels of the lowest floor and of		
			any yard or unbuilt-on area belonging to that floor and the levels of the		

NSW	Building Professionals
GOVERNMENT	Board

Accredited certifier	Disciplinary action no.	Complaint No.	Details of disciplinary matter	Disciplinary action	Date of decision
			adjacent ground, in breach of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Regulation, and		
			 he could not have satisfied himself that the proposed development was a complying development as the plans that he relied upon to issue the complying development certificate did not show that the proposed fill associated with the erection of the dwelling house met the relevant standards of the State Environmental Planning Policy (Exempt and Complying Development), in breach of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act. 		
		105/14	Mr Smith issued a complying development certificate without imposing conditions of consent regarding contributions, as per the Environmental		
			Planning and Assessment Act.		
		108/14			
		110/14			



Accredited certifier	Disciplinary action no.	Complaint No.	Details of disciplinary matter	Disciplinary action	Date of decision